### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of

RICHARD W. ARNOLD ET AL.

Serial No. 09/164,580 (ti-22561)

Filed October 1, 1998

For: KNOWN GGOD DIE USING EXISTING PROCESS INFRASTRUCTURE

Art Unit 2827

Examiner James M. Mitchell

Customer No. 23494

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that the attached document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450,, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or is being facsimile transmitted on the date indicated below:

Jay M. Cantor, Reg. No. 19.906

#### REPLY BRIEF

It is believed that this appeal should be handled on a special basis with dispatch as required by 37 C.F.R, § 1.607(b) since a potential interference is involved.

It is initially noted that the divisional application for claims 17 to 21, referred to under STATUS OF CLAIMS, is now Patent No. 6,720,574.

The Examiner's Answer states under "Issues" that an issue, labeled as 2) is "whether an interference should have been provoked pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.606, which relates to petitionable subject matter under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 and not to appealable subject matter. See MPEP § 1002 and § 120". In reply, it is respectfully submitted that a Petition was filed in the subject application on July 29, 2002, a copy of which is attached hereto along with the PTO mailroom date stamp and the PAIR "transaction history" for the subject application. It

will be noted that the Petition is not listed for reasons known only to the PTO. In addition, the copied claims were rejected under section 102, this being an appealable and not a petitionable matter.

With reference to the Response to Argument as to the rejection of claims 9, 10, 13 and 14 as being anticipated by Knight et al. (U.S. 6,728,113) under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), the examiner now refers to Spielberger et al. (U.S. 6,005,778). No such reference appears to be of record previously in this application. Even if it were of record, the addition of Spielbeger et al. would amount to a new ground of rejection under section 103 and therefore not a part of this appeal. Clearly, as required for a section 102 rejection, the subject matter claimed in claims 9, 10, 13 and 14 is not present within the four corners of Knight et al. as impliedly admitted by the examiner by the addition of Spielberger et al.

With further reference to the Response to Argument, even improperly considering Spielberger et al., claim 9 requires that "the. second spaced apart region of each path having a compliant bump [have] a height greater than all other structures on the layer". No such feature is taught by Knight et al. As previously stated, the examiner alleges that compliant bumps are shown in Knight et al. at column 20, lines 22 to 28. This is clearly not the case. The section of Knight et al. referred to discusses conductive connections which are not numbered and it is not clear what these are from the specification or drawing. Furthermore, the terminals 71, 72 and 73, even be they compliant, do not provide the function of the compliant bumps of the subject invention and do not read on the claims as required by section 102. Terminals 71, 72 and 73 do not have a greater height than "other structures on the layer" as required by claim 9 but rather are not on a substrate with other structures and are not higher than other structures on any layer

relative to the layer. Accordingly, for this reason alone, there is no basis to ascribe the structure and function to these unnumbered elements which also are possibly not shown in the figures. More importantly, there are no compliant <u>bumps</u> shown in Knight et al. even assuming that compliant contacts appear somewhere in Knight et al. Still further, there are no compliant bumps in Knight et al. which have a height greater than all other structures on the layer, as claimed.

As previously stated, claim 9 further requires a standoff disposed on the layer and having a height above the layer and less than the bump. No such structure is taught by Knight et al. The examiner alleges that "'spacers', not shown, Col. 16, Lines 59-60" correspond to the standoffs. There is no basis whatsoever for this allegation and there is nothing in the cited section of Knight et al. to in any way suggest that there is a disclosure of a standoff disposed on the layer and having a height above the layer and less than the bump. In fact, there isn't even a correlation in Knight et al. between what the examiner alleges is the bump of Knight et al. and what the examiner alleges is the standoff of Knight et al., let alone that correlation as set forth in the claim. The examiner states that applicant must provide a basis for stating that a spacer is not a standoff. This statement places the cart before the horse. It is the duty of the examiner to provide a prima facie case of anticipation and, in this regard, it is incumbent upon him to show equivalence, if any. This has not been done. Furthermore, a spacer can be anything that provides a space between two elements and is not necessarily and rarely a standoff. Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary defines the term as "To place at intervals, to arrange with spaces between". (copy attached). It follows that a spacer is not a standoff unless expressly so stated or shown to perform that function, neither of which is the case herein.

It is again noted that the rejection is based upon section 102 of 35 U.S.C. and it is therefore incumbent that the claims be directly readable on Knight et al. The case does not appear to be even close.

With reference to the rejection based upon Potter, as previously stated, claims 22 to 31 were copied from Potter (U.S. 6,028,437) for purposes of interference. On November 6, 2001, an amendment was filed (Number 11 in PAIR) along with a Declaration of the undersigned with an attachment of papers dated prior to the filing date of Potter, but with dates redacted and a Supplement to Amendment was filed November 15, 2001 (number 12 in PAIR) with the same attachments to a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 by the appellants herein swearing back of the filing date of Potter. It followed that the examiner should have acted in a manner as dictated by the Rules and specifically 37 C.F.R. § 1.607(b).

The examiner states that a declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.131 cannot be used when claims are copied for purposes of provoking an interference. This is only true in part. The fact is that the declaration provides at least a rebuttable prima facie case that applicants had in their possession the invention of Potter prior to the filing date of Potter. Accordingly, when the claims of Potter were copied, an interference should have been declared in view of the dictates of 37 C.F.R. § 1.607(b) unless the examiner was of the opinion that a count could not be made in the subject application which encompassed the claimed invention of Potter. This was not done.

37 C.F.R. §1.607(a) sets forth the requirements for provoking an interference with a patent. In this regard, (1) the patent has been identified, (2) a proposed count has been presented, (3) at least one claim in the patent has been identified corresponding to the

proposed count, (4) at least one claim in the subject application has been presented which corresponds to the proposed count with explanation, (5)(i) the applicable claims have been applied to the count and (ii) to the subject disclosure. Applicants have complied with section (c) of this section. It follows that all of the requirements of this section have been met by applicants. It also follows that the examiner should have proceeded as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.607 (b) which he did not, despite the filing of a Petition was has still not received a response.

For the reasons stated above as well as in the Brief on Appeal, reversal of the final rejection and allowance of the claims on appeal and/or other appropriate action is requested that justice be done in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay M. Cantor

Reg. No. 19906

(301) 424-0355

(972) 917-5293

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



In re application of

RICHARD W. ARNOLD ET AL.

Serial No. 09/164,580 (TI-22561)

Filed October 1, 1998

For: KNOWN GOOD DIE USING EXISTING PROCESS INFRASTRUCTURE

Art Unit 2822

Examiner J. Mitchell

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

## PETITION TO COMMISSIONER

Applicants, through their attorney, hereby petition the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to enter the amendment filed after final rejection for the reasons stated hereinbelow. No charge is believed to be necessary since the error is that of the examiner. However, should a charge be required, please charge any costs to Deposit Account No. 20-0668.

The facts are that claim 30 was copied from Potter Patent No. 6,028,437 and contained an indefinite antecedent which was noted by the examiner in the alleged final rejection and corrected in an amendment filed after final rejection. This was **the only** amendment requisted in the amendment filed after the alleged final rejection. A copy of

the claim as set forth in the amendment filed after final rejection is reproduced as follows:

30. (Amended) An apparatus adaptable for the testing of semiconductor devices comprising:

a package, wherein said package has a package lid having a lower surface adapted for receiving said semiconductor device, said semiconductor device having an upper surface, and a package base having an upper surface;

an interconnecting medium contained within said package, wherein said [probe membrane] <u>interconnecting medium</u> has electrical paths adaptable for coupling to test circuitry, said medium including a medium surface, said medium surface having a plurality of probe tips affixed thereto, a plurality of standoffs affixed thereto, and a lower surface, wherein said probe tips are adaptable for making electrical contact with pads on said semiconductor device and are compliant bump probe tips;

a bonding layer comprising an elastomeric material interposed between said package lid lower surface and said semiconductor device upper surface; and

a bond layer comprising an elastomeric material interposed between said interconnecting medium lower surface and said package base upper surface, said package base being adapted for receiving said interconnecting medium.

The amendment was refused entry on the ground that it raised the issue of new matter. Clearly, there can be no issue of new matter when the only change was that of correcting an indefinite antecedent as noted by the examiner himself.

In view of the above, entry of the amendment filed after final rejection is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay M. Cantor Reg. No. 19906 (202) 639-7713



Inventor(s): RICHARD W. ARNOLD ET AL.

Serial No: 09/164,580

Filed: October 1998

KNOWN GOOD DIE USING EXISTING For:

PROCESS INFRASTRUCTURE

Docket number: TI-22561



The following has been received in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date

stamped:

BRIEF ON APPEAL IN TRIPLICATE TOGETHER WITH PETITION TO COMMISSIONER, ALSO IN TRIPLICATE, SO THAT ONE PETITION COPY IS ATTACHED TO EACH BRIEF/APPEAL COPY. (FEES CHARGED TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 20-0668).

Date: July 29, 2002

Initials: JMC/bhr



#### **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz Alerts | News | Help

#### **Portal Home** Patent Applications Patent Application Information Retrieval Patent Application Information (PAIR) PAIR Frequently Asked Questions PAIR Help Search Class/Subclass/GAU Manual of Patent Classification Secure eBusiness Patent EBC **Customer Numbers Digital Certificates** Electronic Filing Private PAIR Glossary Patent eBusiness Support/Help System Alerts Viewer Download: PDF Patent Information About Patents Manual of Patent Examining Procedure Patent Assistance Center Employee Locator Search Valid Location Codes **Telephone Directory** Fees Pay online (RAM) **Inventor Resources** News, Federal Register & OG Notices PTDL Program - libraries near you Registered Patent Attorneys & Agents Patent Searches Search Patents/Patent Applications full text & images **How to View Patent Images** Search Assignments - Ownership Search International Patents Other Search Resources & Tips Sequence Searches (biotech) Software for Sequence Listings The first property of the second seco

Order Copies & Publications **Products & Services** 

Other

Select Search Method: Enter Number:

Application Number -

SUBMIT

.09/164,580

KNOWN GOOD DIE USING EXISTING PROCES

Application Transaction Image File Continuity Address & Data History Wrapper Attorney/Agent

Date	Conte
05-05-2005	Mail Examiner's Answer
05-03-2005	Examiner's Answer to Appeal I
09-16-2004	Date Forwarded to Examiner
09-13-2004	Appeal Brief Filed
09-09-2004	Notice of Appeal Filed
09-09-2004	Workflow incoming amendmen
08-16-2004	Case Docketed to Examiner
08-05-2004	Mail Non-Final Rejection
08-04-2004	Non-Final Rejection
07-08-2004	Examiner Interview Summary I
07-26-2004	IFW TSS Processing by Tech
07-22-2002	Oath or Declaration Filed (Inclu
11-19-2003	Miscellaneous Incoming Lett
02-27-2003	Mail Non-Final Rejection
02-24-2003	Non-Final Rejection
08-20-2002	Date Forwarded to Examiner
07-22-2002	Appeal Brief Filed
07-22-2002	Notice of Appeal Filed
06-21-2002	Mail Advisory Action (PTOL -
06-20-2002	Advisory Action (PTOL-303)
05-08-2002	Date Forwarded to Examiner
04-30-2002	Amendment after Final Rejection
02-25-2002	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL -
02-24-2002	Final Rejection
01-15-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner i
01-15-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in

Copyrights		
Policy & Law		
Reports		
Trademarks		

11-15-2001	Oath or Declaration Filed (Inc
12-12-2001	Date Forwarded to Examiner
11-15-2001	Supplemental Response
11-06-2001	Oath or Declaration Filed (Inclu
11-21-2001	Date Forwarded to Examiner
11-06-2001	Response after Non-Final Action
08-29-2001	Mail Non-Final Rejection
08-27-2001	Non-Final Rejection
02-23-2001	Case Docketed to Examiner i
02-24-1999	Case Docketed to Examiner in
01-07-1999	<b>Application Dispatched from</b>
01-05-1999	Application Is Now Complete
10-27-1998	Notice MailedApplication In
10-14-1998	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Securi
10-05-1998	Initial Exam Team nn

The Patent Electronic Business Center is available to assist with PAIF

Home | Site Index | Search | eBusiness | Help | Privacy Policy

THIN PAPER

# WEBSTER'S NEW COLLEGIATE DICTIONARY

a Merriam-Webster

BASED ON

## WEBSTER'S NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY

SECOND EDITION



G. & C. MERRIAM CO., PUBLISHERS SPRINGFIELD, MASS., U.S.A.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Sov'er-eign (söv'er-in; söv'rin; söv', adj. [OF. soverain, sovrain, deriv. of L. super above. Modern spelling is due to a supposed connection with reign.] 1. Chief or highest; supreme. 2. Supreme in power; superior in position to disens; specil., princely; royal. 3. Independent of, and unlimited by, any other; possessing, or entitled to, original and independent authority or jurisdiction; as, a sovereign state. 4. Efficacious; effectual, as a remedy. — Syn. See poannant: raee. — n. 1. A person, body of men, or state, vested with sovereign authority. 2. A British gold coin worth one pound sterling; — from the effiny of the monarch on the obverse. See money, Tables. — soy'ereignly (söv'er-in-tl; söv'rin-tl; söv'rin-tl; söv'rin-tl; söv'rin-tl; söv'rin-tl; a The status, dominion, or rule of a sovereign or a sovereign. 2. Specil: a The status, dominion, or rule of a sovereign by Supreme political power or authority.

authority.

so'viet (sō'viet; sō'viet'; sōvyēt'; sōv'iet), n. [Russ. sovet.] 1.

A council; specil: a [often cap.] Either one of two governing bodies (sillage soviets, town soviets) consisting of representatives of workmen, soldiers, and peasants, in the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. A soviet is the supreme local authority and it sends deputies to each of the higher bodies (soviet congresses) having authority over larger units. The highest governmental body of all is the Supreme Soviet or Council. b Any of various similar socialistic bodies elsewhere. 2. [cap.] a With the. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. b pl. The people, leaders, or armed forces of the U.S.S.R.—sov'viet, ad.,—sov'viet, dail.—sov'viet, dail.—sov'viet, dail.—sov'viet, dail.—Sov'viet.

- sover, ag. — sover-com (-dim), a. sover-is sover-is m (sovi-is) m, sover-is - sover-is (-is) m, sover-is - sover-is (-is) m, sover-is (-is) m, sover-is (-is) m, sover-is (-is) m, — sover-is (-is) m (sover-is) (-is) n, — sover-is (-is) m, sover-is (-is) n, — sover-

Sovian (Sovian), sovianty. Vars of sovereign, etc. sow (sou), a. [AS. soya.] 1. The adult female of swine. See Sivereign, etc. a A channel leading to molds in the pig bed. b A mass of metal solidified in such a channel or mold.

sumit, 1. 2. Metal. a A channel leading to molds in the pig bed b A mass of metal solidified in such a channel or mold.

Sow (So), v. I.; sowen (Söl); sowe (Söl) or sowen; sowen; to plant by strewing. 2. To scatter, as seed, upon the earth for growth; to plant by strewing. 2. To scatter; seed upon, in, or over, as a field. 3. To spread abroad; disperse; disseminate; also, to implant. — v. i. To scatter seed for growth.— Sowen; a. so, to implant. — v. i. To scatter seed for growth.— Sowen; a. So, to implant. — v. i. To scatter seed for growth.— Sowen; a. Der. samör houseman.] In India, a native cavalityman; also, a mounted orderly.

sowwing!(sôl-wär!:—wūr!, a. Per. samör houseman.] In India, a native cavalityman; also, a mounted orderly.

sow long (sou). [From sow, a.] A wood house (genus Oniscus, and allies) that cannot roll up like the pill hous. See wood louse.

sow word (sol-kär!). Var. of soucas.

sowens (sôl-kar; söl-kar). a. pl. [Goel. säghan the liquid of which sowens are made, it. sägh innce.] Dial. Porridge from oat husks.

sow thistin (sou). Any weed of a mems (Somchus, esp. & oleraceus) of weeds said to be eaten by swine.

sou (sôl-s). Var. of socka, pl. of sock.

sou (sôl-s). Var. of socka, pl. of socka.

sou blan

so am (so am), n. [Gr. sözein to save.] Biochem. Any defensive protein normally in the animal body.

spa (spā; spā), n. [From Spa, Belgium.] A mineral spring; hence, a resort with mineral springs.

space (spā; spā), n. [OF. espace, [r. L. spatium space.] 1. That which is characterized by extension in all directions, boundlessness, and indefinite divisibility; the subject of determinations of position and direction. 2. Moth. The springate of points, or ordered sets of n numbers (x, ... x<sub>n</sub>). 3. I finited extension in one, two, or three dimensions; a ran marked off in some way. 4. Soccil., reservation; accommodations, a con a train. 5. An interval between two points of time; duration. 6. Opportunity; chance. 7. Archoic. A while. 8. Advertising. The page or part of a parse of a periodical used for advertising, or the number of spate lines so used in a newspaper. Trade Slosso, U. S. 9. Music. A degree, or open place, of the staff. 10. Print. A small piece cast lower than the face of the type used to separate words. 11. Telep. The interval during which the key is open, or not in contact, in operation. 12. Popularly, the region beyond the carth's atmosphere, so, that between and beyond the phanets and stars.—a £. spaces between.—spat'er (späs'gin, n.' space charge. Elec. The electrons in the space between the filament and the plate in an electron tube.

space'-lat'tice, n. Physical Chem. The geometrical arra the atoms in a crystal, as determined by X-ray analysis.

space—lat'fice, n. Physical Chem. The geometrical arrangement of the atoms in a crystal, as determined by X-ray analysis. space'ship', s. An imaginary aircraft of the future for interplanetary travel outside the earth's atmosphere. space time. The four-dimensional order within which every physical existent may be "located" by specifying its four co-ordinates, three spatial and one temporal; the whole of physical reality, or any circumscribed portion, conceived as a four-dimensional array of long-lasting, extended things. — space'—time', adj.

space'—time' com-tim'u.mm. See rousern muerasion. spac'cial (space'abili). Var. of Spattal.

yast in extent; roomy. 2. Large or magnificent in scale; expansive. — spac'cions-ly, adv. — spac'cions-ly, adv. — spac'cions-ly, adv. — spac'cions-less, n.

spade (spad), n. [AS. speala, spada] 1. A digging implement heavier than a shovel and adapted for being pushed into the ground with the foot. 2. A spade-shaped instrument; as: A cutting instrument used in flensing. b Orda. A prong on the underside of the trail of a gun carriage to check recoil — to call a spade a spade. To call a thing by its right name, however coarse; to tell plain facts in plain words. — a. & e. T. To din, or to pare of, with a spade. — spade'nt, n. — spade'er (spad'er), n. [Space, arda (it., a sword Spanish cards bearing the figure of a swordh, fr. L. spatha, fr. Gr. spath&] a A black, somewhat spade/lish' (-fish'), n.; see FISH. a A deep-bodied spiny-finned food

fish (Chaetodipterus faber) found on the coasts from Cape Co Cuba. b The paddlefish.

Cuba. B The paddictish, adj. [L. spadix, -icis, a date-brom nut-brown color. See SPADIX.] 1. Of a bright, clear, brown or ch nut color. 2. Bot. Bearing flowers on, or of the nature of, 2 spa spadix spadis; Dayler, a palm brighten off, with its fruit, fr. Gr. spadix.] Bot. A spike with a fleshy or succulent axis, usually enclosed in a state.

ant color. 2. Bol. Bearing flowers on, or of the mature of, a sup spar dix caps (filts), n.; p.l. scanners (soil-diffsex). [L. a paim in broken ofl, with its fruit, ir. Gr. spadir.] Bol. A spike state of with its fruit, ir. Gr. spadir.] Bol. A spike state of with its fruit, ir. Gr. spadir.] Bol. A spike state of with its fruit ir. Gr. spadir.] Bol. A spike state of with a state of the space cond.] A for a little space cond. A for a for a corps of little space cond. A for a corps of Alexan native cavalry. 2. One of a corps of Alexan native cavalry. Spate, spadi (spik), a. M. F. spalle.] A chip or fragment, ep. of space cavalry. 2. One of a corps of Alexan native cavalry. Spate, spadi (spik), a. M. F. spalle.] A chip or fragment, ep. of spate, spadi (spik), a. M. F. spalle.] A chip or fragment, ep. of spate, spadi (spik), a. M. F. spalle.] A chip or fragment, ep. of spate, spadi (spik), a. M. F. spalle.] A chip or fragment, ep. of spate conditions of spadis conditions and the conditions of spadis conditions and little space. Spane conditions and conditions are conditions and conditions and conditions and conditions are conditions and conditions and conditions and conditions are conditions and conditions and conditions are condition

Spanish bayonst. Any of several yuccas, esp. one species (I's aloi/olio) with rigid spine-tipped leaves.

Spanish fig. See Buster Beetle.

Spanish infinenza. The infinenza.

Spanish ity. See BLISTER BEFILE.

Spanish Main. The minhand of Spanish America, esp. the motivous to South America; improperty, the southern portion, or the win of the Caribbean Sea.

Spanish mosts. The long moss.

Spanish meedles. The barbed achenes of a common bern-to (Bidens bipinates); also, the plant itself.

Spanish omion. Any of several varieties of large-bulbed mild-find contons; — in the United States originally applied only to imposs stock but now used more broadly.

Spanish pagnifa. A mild bright-red pepper (Capricum telegrar of Spanish pagnifa. A mild bright-red pepper (Capricum telegrar of Spanish origin; also, the plant.

spank (spangl), v. i. [From Spanking, adj.] To more cont dashingly, or sparitedly.

spank, v. i. [Umitative.] To strike, or to strike the buttors of with the open hand.— n. A spanking; a slap, esp. on the buttors of spank'er (spangk'er), n. 1. One who or that which spanish spank'er (spangk'er), n. 1. One who or that which spanish sel. See sant, Illust. b The aftermast and the sail thereo is schooner of more than four masts.

spank'ing adj. [Prob. of Scand. origin.] 1. That spanks; or mile is kind.

